# Learning, Predicting and Planning against Crime: Demonstration Based on Real Urban Crime Data

# (Demonstration)

Chao Zhang University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA zhan661@usc.edu

Manish Jain Armorway. Inc. Los Angeles, CA 90071, USA manish@armorway.com Ripple Goyal Armorway. Inc. Los Angeles, CA 90071, USA ripple@armorway.com

Arunesh Sinha
University of Southern
California
Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA
aruneshs@usc.edu

Milind Tambe
University of Southern
California
Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA
tambe@usc.edu

# **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

I.2.11 [Artificial Intelligence]: Distributed Artificial Intelligence - multiagent systems

# 1. INTRODUCTION




Figure 1: DBN

Crime in urban areas plagues every city in all countries. This demonstration will show a novel approach for learning and predicting crime patterns and planning against such crimes using real urban crime data. A notable characteristic of urban crime, distinct from organized terrorist attacks, is that most urban crimes are opportunistic in nature, i.e., criminals do not plan their attacks in detail, rather they seek opportunities for committing crime and are agile in their execution of the crime [6, 7, 1, 4]. Police officers conduct patrols with the aim of preventing crime. However, criminals can adapt their strategy in response of police deployment by seeking crime opportunity in less effectively patrolled location. The problem

of where and how much to patrol is therefore important.

There are two approaches to solve this problem. The first approach is to schedule patrols manually by human planners, which is followed in various police departments. However, it has been demonstrated that manual planning of patrols is not only time-consuming but also highly ineffective in related scenarios of protecting airport terminals [3] and ships in ports [5]. The second approach is to use automated planners to plan patrols against urban crime. This approach has either focused on modeling the criminal explicitly [7,

Appears in: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2015), Bordini, Elkind, Weiss, Yolum (eds.), May 4–8, 2015, Istanbul, Turkey.

Copyright © 2015, International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (www.ifaamas.org). All rights reserved.

6] (rational, bounded rational, etc.) in a game model or to learn the adversary behavior using machine learning [2]. However, the proposed mathematical models of criminal behavior have not been validated with real data. Also, prior machine learning approaches have either only focused on the adversary actions ignoring their adaptation to the defenders' actions [2].

Hence, in this presentation we propose a novel approach to learn and update the criminal behavior from real data [8]. We model the interaction between criminals and patrol officers as a Dynamic Bayesian Network (DBN). Figure 1



Figure 2: Scheduler flowchart

shows an example of such DBN. Next, we apply a dynamic programming algorithm to generate optimal patrol strategy against the learned criminal model. By iteratively updating the criminals' model and computing patrol strategy against them, we help patrol officers keep up with criminals' adaptive behavior and execute effective patrols. This process is shown as a flow chart in Figure 2.

With this context, the demonstration presented in this paper introduces a web-based software with two contributions. First, our system collects and analyzes crime reports and resources (security camera, emergency supplies, etc.) data, presenting them in various forms. Second, our patrol scheduler incorporates the algorithm in [8] in a scheduling recommendation system. The demonstration will engage audience members by having them participate as patrol officers and using the software to 'patrol' the University of Southern California (USC) campus in USA.

#### 2. MULTI-USER SOFTWARE

Our multi-user web-based software is built for the Department of Public Safety in University of Southern California. It is composed of two main components: a data collector and

a patrol scheduler. A detailed demonstration of our software can be found here.

# 2.1 Data collector



Figure 3: Crime analysis Figure 4: Hotspot analysis



Figure 5: Emergency sup- Figure 6: Security Camply eras

The data collector receives crime data from police department, and presents and analyzes it in various fashions. There are three main tasks of the data collector: First, it visualizes crime data with spatial and temporal information, as shown in Figure 3, to help officers analyze the trend of crimes around campus. Officers can get access to the details of any crime on the map by clicking on the icon of that crime. In this way, officers can not only get a general understanding of crime around campus, but also keep track of each individual crime. Moreover, officers can pick out specific types of crime to analyze by setting up constraints in crime filter. For further visualization of the geographic distribution of crimes, our software provides hot spots analysis. Hot spots are the locations where crimes are concentrated. As shown in Figure 4, 'hot' areas indicates attractive targets for criminals to commit crimes. Police department can cool down these hot spots by increasing patrol coverage when assigning officers in the field.

Second, the data collector provides information to the officers in the field about various available resources such as emergency supplies and security cameras. As shown in Figure 5, our software indicates the location for all the emergency supplies on campus. Emergency supplies include flashlights, first aid supplies, water, hardhats, battery-operated radios, evacuation locations and useful emergency tools. Again, by clicking the icon of each emergency supply, officers access the detail of that emergency supply. Besides emergency supplies, we also provide real-time video stream from security cameras to patrol officers. Figure 6 shows the (mock) location of security cameras. To check certain locations, officers can use our software to watch the video from any camera.

Finally, the data collector provides input for the patrol scheduler. By reading the data from collector, patrol scheduler can continuously learn and update criminals' behavior.

#### 2.2 Patrol scheduler

#### 2.2.1 Patrol settings

In USC, our approach divides the enforcement area (encompassing the campus) into 18 patrol areas, which is shown in Fig 7. DPS patrols would be in shifts of 4 hours each. At the beginning of each patrol shift, our algorithm assigns



Figure 7: Patrol area

each available patrol officer to a patrol area and the officer patrols this area in this shift. At the same time, the criminal is seeking for crime opportunities by deciding which target they want to visit. Discussions with DPS reveals that criminals act opportunistically, i.e., crime is not planned in detail, but occurs when opportunity arise and there is insufficient presence of DPS officers.

# 2.2.2 Schedule generator

To generate patrol schedule for DPS officers, we apply the algorithm introduced by [8]. As a brief introduction to the algorithm, the DBN model captures the following actions: in each time step the defender assigns officers to protect all patrol areas



Figure 8: Sample patrol schedule

and criminals react to the defenders' allocation strategy by committing crimes opportunistically. Across time-steps the criminals respond to police patrols by moving from a target to another. Using real data, we learn the criminal behavior as modeled in the DBN. We represent the DBN compactly, leading to improved performance. Finally, dynamic programming based method is used to find the optimal defender plan for learned model. We highlight the recommended patrol area on campus map, as shown in Figure 8.

# 3. DEMONSTRATION INTERACTION

In our demonstration, the audience members will be able to directly interact with our software in three ways: first, the audience can use data collector to analyse crime and request assistance as an officer. As stated in Section 2.1, the audience can set up constraints to view certain crimes and hotspots. Also, they can check the details of a crime by clicking on icons. Also, audience can check emergency supplies and video stream from security cameras (pre-recorded video will be used due to sensitive nature of real time video).

Second, the audience can change weights of the different crime types and the number of resources in the patrol scheduler to change the recommended patrols. Finally, the audience can evaluate the patrol scheduler in our software by creating artificial incidents. Given the crime and patrol history, the audience can act as criminals and pick targets to attack. Our patrol scheduler will learn the audiences' behavior from their choice, predicting their next move and generating optimal patrol strategy against them. The audience can evaluate our software by comparing their crime decision with our prediction.

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research is supported by MURI grant W911NF-11-1-0332.

# **REFERENCES**

- [1] P. J. Brantingham and G. Tita. Offender mobility and crime pattern formation from first principles. *Artificial crime analysis systems: using computer simulations and geographic information systems*, pages 193–208, 2008.
- [2] H. Chen, W. Chung, J. J. Xu, G. Wang, Y. Qin, and M. Chau. Crime data mining: a general framework and some examples. *Computer*, 37(4):50–56, 2004.
- [3] M. Jain, J. Tsai, J. Pita, C. Kiekintveld, S. Rathi, M. Tambe, and F. Ordóñez. Software assistants for randomized patrol planning for the lax airport police and the federal air marshal service. *Interfaces*, 40(4):267–290, 2010.
- [4] J. H. Ratcliffe. A temporal constraint theory to explain opportunity-based spatial offending patterns. *Journal* of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 43(3):261–291, 2006.
- [5] E. Shieh, B. An, R. Yang, M. Tambe, C. Baldwin, J. DiRenzo, B. Maule, and G. Meyer. Protect: A deployed game theoretic system to protect the ports of the united states. In Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems-Volume 1, pages 13-20. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2012.
- [6] M. B. Short, M. R. D'ORSOGNA, V. B. Pasour, G. E. Tita, P. J. Brantingham, A. L. Bertozzi, and L. B. Chayes. A statistical model of criminal behavior. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 18(supp01):1249–1267, 2008.
- [7] C. Zhang, A. X. Jiang, M. B. Short, P. J. Brantingham, and M. Tambe. Defending against opportunistic criminals: New game-theoretic frameworks and algorithms. In *Decision and Game Theory for Security*, pages 3–22. Springer, 2014.
- [8] C. Zhang, A. Sinha, and M. Tambe. Keeping pace with criminals: Designing patrol allocation against adaptive opportunistic criminals (to appear). In Proceedings of the 2015 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems. 2015.